



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,599	03/29/2004	Yong-Chan Keh	5000-1-544	2700
33942	7590	01/26/2006	EXAMINER	
CHA & REITER, LLC 210 ROUTE 4 EAST STE 103 PARAMUS, NJ 07652				KIANNI, KAVEH C
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2883	

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/811,599	KEH ET AL.
	Examiner Kianni C. Kaveh	Art Unit 2883

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 March 2004.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1-3,9-12,15,16 and 18 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) 4-8,13,14,17 and 19-23 is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.

## DETAILED ACTION

### Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the bias-Tee must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

Claims 4-8 and 13-14, 17 and 19-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 4-8 and 13-14 allowable because the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious a coplanar waveguide (CPW) and a matching resistor arranged in the sub-mount for transmitting the RF signal without distortion an RF choke comprising a first inductor electrically connected to block the RF signal from a DC current path; wherein the plurality of leads comprise a first lead connected to an anode of the LD, a second lead connected to a cathode RF terminal of the LD, a third lead connected to a cathode of the PD, a fourth lead connected to an anode of the PD, and a fifth lead connected to a cathode DC terminal of the LD; a coplanar waveguide and a matching resistor arranged in the sub-mount for transmitting the RF signal without distortion, and an RF choke comprising an inductor arranged in a DC current path to block the RF signal from the DC current; arranging a coplanar waveguide (CPW) and a matching resistor in the sub-mount for transmitting the RF signal without distortion in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3, 9-12, 15-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ichikawa et al. (US 20030165167).

Regarding claims 1, 3, 10-11, 16 and 18, Ichikawa et al. teaches a TO-can type optical module and its method of arranging (shown in at least figures 1-3 and 12) comprising: providing a stem 100; arranging a sub-mount 160 in the stem 100 substantially perpendicular to an upper surface of the stem; a laser diode (LD) 131/132 mounted/arranged in the sub-mount 160; a photodiode (PD) 140, said PD being arranged for detecting light emitted from a back face of the LD 131 converting light emitted from the LD 131 to current; and a plurality of leads 121-124 extended through

the stem, said leads electrically being connected to the sub-mount 160 (shown in at least fig. 2-3 and at least parag. 0082).

However, in above embodiment Chikawa does not specifically teach (A) wherein the above photodiode having an inclined light incident surface, and a bias-tee arranged in the sub-mount with the LD, (B) said bias-tee superposing an RF signal onto the DC current of the LD, (C) wherein the sub-mount is formed of a ceramic material and/or wherein the ceramic material comprises AlN. The limitation (A) more specifically taught by Chikawa in another embodiment (see at least fig. 12-14. item inclined surface photodiode 240), in which it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art when the invention was made to combine different embodiments of Chikawa in order to produce an optical module having said limitation for purpose of simplifying the module optical coupling. And regarding limitations (B) and (C), although heat dissipater element 202/102 would function as a bias-Tee/RF suppressor; nevertheless, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art when the invention was made to modify Chikawa's module/sub-mount as matter of suitability and design options to including extremely conventional a bias-Tee (which is optionally/externally interfaced by the applicant stated in the specification) and or Ceramic material with AlN (see a few examples of listed prior art below) since such configuration would provide simplified fabrication process, reliability without damaging the stem or other module elements (see parag. 0021-0022).

Regarding claims 2, 9, 12 and 15, Chikawa further teaches wherein the sub-mount 160 is arranged substantially perpendicular to an upper surface of the stem 100; wherein the LD includes a p-type electrode bonded by having its p-side facing up to the sub-mount (see parag. 0032); wherein the LD and the PD are die-bonded onto the sub-mount by a solder pattern (see at least parag. 0005); wherein the plurality of leads are arranged in line (shown in figure 1-3 and 12, items leads in line).

### ***Citation of Relevant Prior Art***

Prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In accordance with MPEP 707.05 the following references are pertinent in rejection of this application since they provide substantially the same information disclosure as this patent does. These references are:

Masui et al. 5557166 teaches relevant limitations

Kuhara et al. 6722190

Ito et al. 6410904 teaches at least claim 1

Lowery et al. 5509022 teaches Bias-Tee superposing an RF signal onto the DC current of the LD

Mazed 20020028390 teaches Bias-Tee superposing an RF signal onto the DC current of the LD

Kitaoka et al. 5835650 teaches ceramic material with AlN in a sub-mount/substrate

US 6940091 B2      Funada; Tomoyuki et al. teaches ceramic material with AlN in a sub-mount

US 6700911 B2      Kohashi; Ikuo et al. teaches ceramic material with AlN in a sub-mount

Eunada et al. 2004/0037334 teaches ceramic material with AlN<sub>x</sub> in a sub-mount/substrate

Verdielli 20020034834 teaches ceramic material with AlN in a sub-mount/substrate

Aruga et al. 20050067698 teaches ceramic material with AlN in a sub-mount/substrate

Also

Kurabayashi et al. at least claim 1

Liu et al. 20040091011

Kubaba et al. 6733190

Jung et al. 200500133370

Ichikawa et al. 6562693; 6784464

Butchter 6536957

Jung et al. 20050013337

These references are cited herein to show the relevance of the apparatus/methods taught within these references as prior art.

#### ***Contact Information***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to K. Cyrus Kianni whose telephone number is (571) 272-2417.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font, can be reached at (571) 272-2415.

**Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

**or faxed to:**

(703) 872-9306 (for formal communications intended for entry)

**or:**

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Plaza 4, 2021 South Clark Place, Arlington, VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

January 17, 2006



KAVEH KIANNI  
PRIMARY EXAMINER